

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/900,229	Applicant(s) DAHAYANAKE ET AL.	
	Examiner Daniel S. Metzmaier	Art Unit 1712	

All Participants:

(1) Daniel S. Metzmaier.

(2) Robert J. Dean (Reg # 33,490).

Date of Interview: 19 August 2004

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Status of Application: Issue fee paid - not yet matched

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: ~ 11:50 AM

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none.

Claims discussed:
none.

Prior art documents discussed:
none.

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Informed applicants regarding the disposition of the "correction of Inventor Name" was treated as the filing of a data sheet and the change in the spelling of the inventor name had been made.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

DANIEL S. METZMAIER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1712



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)